

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,960	11/10/2003	David S. Collins	BUR920030056US1 2959	
29625	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP			DINH, PAUL	
1750 TYSONS BLVD. SUITE 1800			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-4215			2825	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	A	
	$\Lambda \Lambda \Omega$	
	AK	
	V.1 ~ \	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/605,960	COLLINS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Dinh	2825			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1	0/03 to 7/14/05.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
	xammer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4\ 🗀 Intensious Summer	, (PTO_413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,960

Invention

Art Unit: 2825

Group

Election/Restrictions

. This application contains claim groups directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group	invention
I.	Claims 1-21 drawn to a computerized ESD circuit design system with low and
	higher electronic components, growable and non-growable segments and circuit
	schematic module creating ESD elements, without layout/graphical format
II.	Claims 22-30 drawn to a method of forming an ESD protection design with user
	input of variable set, output higher order ESD protection circuit in one of circuit
	layout and circuit schematic graphical format, without growable and non-
	growable segments.
III.	Claim 31 drawn to a computer program product with components to: verify
	connection, verify width, via number, provide multiple lines, provide ESD
	ballasting, pulse width, surrounding insulator material, metal level, and distance,
	fill shapes, cheesing, without growable and non-growable segments, without
	schematic module/graphical format.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Application/Control Number: 10/605,960

Art Unit: 2825

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that cancellation of non-elected claims is required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Your Dinh

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Dinh

Patent Examiner